Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/035,580	STEELE, GUY L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chat C. Do	2193
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Appeal</u>		
(1) <u>Chat C. Do</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ken Lembke, Reg. No. 44,866</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 July 2010	Time: <u>1:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102/103 rejection		
Claims discussed:  1, 15 and 46 sets		
Prior art documents discussed:  Huang et al. (U.S. 5,995,991)		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Chat C. Do/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated a call to the attorney, Mr. Johnathon A. Szumny Reg. No. 57,695, to discuss the proposed amendmend for advancing the prosecution. The firm forwarded the case to Mr. Ken Lembke Reg. No. 44,866 at 720-562-5500 for discussing the proposal. During the discussion, the attorney Mr. Ken agreed and authorized the examiner's amendment to 1) incorporate the limitations of claim 46 into claims 1 and 15, particularly the limitation "wherein the analyzer circuit comprises:....second floating point operand"; and 2) cancel claims 46-53.